

# No Choice but to Travel

## Safavid Travelogues Written in Persian

Sarah Kiyanrad

**Abstract** This paper introduces twenty-two Persian travelogues dating from the Safavid era (1501–1722), which can roughly be divided into three categories; private travels, missions, and pilgrimages. It aims to show that Safavid travelogues, a hitherto somewhat neglected literary phenomenon, form part of a Persian travelogue tradition and that the accounts often comprise relevant autobiographical information. Since the travelogues' contents, tropes and forms are however partly a result of (evolving) literary standards of travel writing, it is often only through a close reading that we are able to uncover—or at least catch a glimpse of—the authors' *Selbstbilder*.

**Keywords** Safavids, Travelogue, *Maṣnavī*, Diplomatic Mission, Pilgrimage

## Introduction

Persian historical travel accounts were often dictated to and/or mediated by scribes—and sometimes written down many years after the actual journeys took place, based on notes and (shifting) personal memories.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, later copyists may have attributed or omitted sections. Not all travelogues report on 'physical' journeys, but some describe fictional, spiritual, or metaphysical travels; others, while claiming authenticity, are mere forgeries. Last but not least, travelogues reflect, reconstruct and contribute to existing *mentalités* and literary traditions (they actually have to in order to be understood)<sup>2</sup>. One may thus question whether travelogues, at least

1 This paper is dedicated to Susanne Enderwitz, my *rāhnāmā* on a challenging journey.

2 Stagl 1995, 4–5. Losensky's argument about the Safavid-Mughal *ghazal* may be extended to other 'genres' and calls for prudence with regards to allegedly autobiographical statements (Losensky 1998, 70): "In a poetic genre as conventional and rule-governed as the

early modern ones, reflect authentic *Selbstbilder* at all. But these aspects could also apply to many other (ego-)documents as well—even today, some ‘autobiographies’ are written by ghostwriters, and, of course, they are usually based on memories, modeled according to present-day concepts of the genre, and inevitably influenced by dominant mentalities.

Hence, though one has to approach the evidence provided with caution, non-fictional travelogues still address the self, encompass autobiographical information (framed and formed by existing models and discourses, of course) and usually report and reflect on a decisive time in the traveler’s life.<sup>3</sup> In spite of the growing scholarly interest, Persian travelogues predating the Qajar era (1779–1925), with the exception of a few prominent examples<sup>4</sup>, still remain understudied and often unedited. A number of articles, volumes, and monographs however provide overviews of Persian historical

Persian *ghazal*, each individual poem echoes others and partakes in complex systems of conventions that affect every aspect of the poem. [. . .] The personae or characters who speak in his [= Fighānī’s; SK] poems are all established figures in Persian lyric poetry—almost any other poet in the tradition would share a substantial portion of Fighānī’s ‘inner biography’, if we read the poems as personal confession.”

- 3 This aspect is not as self-evident as it may seem. Lambton 1962, in her article on “Persian Biographical Literature”, does not discuss travelogues. On p. 149, where she turns to autobiographies, she states: “Autobiographies earlier than those of the twentieth century [. . .] are few and relatively unimportant in that they seldom provide the historian with a detailed picture of the life and times of the author”. A volume on *The Rhetoric of Biography* with a focus on pre-modern Persianate societies, published in 2011, tackles this view, since it considers both “the biographical and autobiographical literatures that have been composed in Persian [. . .]” (Marlow 2011, 1). On travelogues as documents on the self, see, for example, Fragner 1979, 1–12, 19–49, who considers travelogues as a subgenre of memoirs and, although he recognizes the further development of travelogues during the Qajar era, clearly states that especially early Qajar memoirs are strongly influenced by the existing tradition of travelogue writing. One should also recall that a number of autobiographies or memoirs were written at the time we are dealing with; next to Shah Tahmāsb’s (Persian) *Tazkira*, reference can be made to Bābur’s *Bāburnāma* (in Turkī) and Ġahāngīr’s *Tuzuk-i Ġahāngīrī* (in Persian). See also Ašraf 1388, 183. On the possibility of investigating travelogues with a narratological approach (based on Ricœur), see Conermann 2013.
- 4 Among the pre-Safavid Persian travelogues that have received scholarly attention one may mention, for instance, the famous travel account of Nāšir Ḥusrau (d. 1088/1089) (see for example Hunsberger 2000), Ġiyāš ad-Dīn’s (*fl.* 1419–1422) travelogue to China, commissioned by the Timurid prince Bāysunġur (1397–1433) (preserved in Ḥāfiẓ Abrū’s *Zubdat at-tavāriḥ* and Kamāl ad-Dīn ‘Abd ar-Razzāq Samarqandī’s *Maṭla‘-i sa‘dain va maġma‘-i bahrain*) (Mazahéri 1983, 25–80; Conermann 2005, 214–236; Kaus 2005, 136–141), and Ḥāqānī’s (d. between 1186/87 and 1199) *Tuḥfat al-‘Irāqain* (or *Ḥatm al-ġarā‘ib*), a ‘spiritual travelogue’ describing the sun’s journey to the holy sites of Islam (Beelaert 2000 and 2013).

travel literature, including pre- and early modern travelogues and pave the way for this area of study.<sup>5</sup>

Due to an existing variety in form and content, it has been argued that pre-Qajar descriptions of travel cannot be considered as a genre in its own right.<sup>6</sup> But, taking a closer look at those early Persian travel accounts, common narrative tropes are easily discernible (as are formal characteristics)<sup>7</sup>. It is not possible to offer a comprehensive analysis of Safavid (1501–1722) travelogues in the space available, so this paper will briefly introduce twenty-two Safavid travelogues and pursue a few aspects of selected accounts; the travelers' social background, motivations, and literary references.

The notion 'Safavid', for the purpose of this paper, serves as a temporal frame. Some writers lived in the Safavid realm, others not; some dedicated their travelogue to a Safavid shah, others did not. What marks the authors' common ground is that they were writing in Persian, drawing on and referring to a Persian literary (travelogue) tradition and that they were in some way affected by the social, religious and political environment of Safavid Iran.

## Persian travelogues from the Safavid era

Persian travelogues may generally be grouped according to the purpose or reason for the journey. The late Iraj Afshar suggested the following typology of travelogues: 1) pilgrimage; 2) tourism; 3) state missions; 4) hunting

5 Rahimieh 2001; Afshar 2002; Karāči 1381; Pūrgīv and 'Abdallāhī 1383; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007 (focus on 1400–1800); Micallef and Sharma 2013 (focus on nineteenth and early-twentieth century travelogues); anthology comprising some excerpts of Persian travelogues: Khair, Leer, Edwards, and Ziadeh 2005; see also Hoffmann 2017, 313–321 and, for a more general study, Ḥā'irī 1394. Unfortunately, I did not yet have access to a further publication: Muḥammad Šahrī, *Hampā-yi ḡahāngardān-i irānī. Safar-nāma-nivīsī dar Īrān. Barrisi-yi safarnāmahā-yi irānī*, Mašhad, 1394/2015.

6 "Travel narratives did not form one of the traditional genres of Persian prose writing at the beginning of the nineteenth century. A few earlier travelers had written accounts of their journeys [...], but there were not enough of these to conceive of them as a group and define as a recognizable genre"; Hanaway 2002, 249. Many of the 'generic markers' Hanaway identifies in nineteenth-century Persian travel accounts (e.g. form of a journal, didactic purpose, dynamic presentation of content, assertion of Persian cultural and religious values) (p. 265) can however be found in earlier accounts already.

7 We actually need further analysis of the travelogues' formal characteristics in order to understand what marks a Safavid travelogue; a recent, original example for this approach is Trausch 2015, who mapped out formal characteristics of sixteenth-century 'Safavid' courtly historiography.

and recreation; 5) education; 6) captivity and exile.<sup>8</sup> In a similar manner, Rūhangīz Karāči argues for 1) *siyāhat* (trade/education/sport/tourism); 2) *ma'mūrīyat* (political/cultural/military); and 3) *ziyārat* ('Atabāt/Mecca and Medina/Mašhad/Qum).<sup>9</sup>

A few Persian travelogues dating from the days of the Safavids are known and have been studied for decades. In addition, during the past few years, a considerable number of travelogues, mostly dealing with the pilgrimage to Mecca, have been edited. The travelogues are sometimes written in prose, but mostly in the *mašnavī* form (rhymed couplets; 'narrative poem'). They share "the dominance of travel as an organizing notion in the narrative"<sup>10</sup>. The accounts are typically narrated in the first person, often arranged chronologically<sup>11</sup> (thus the notion *rūznāmča*, "[little] diary"), and reflect the individual travel experience. Needless to say, many texts that cannot be considered as travelogues in this sense and have not been included in the list, comprise accounts of travels.<sup>12</sup> The following overview

8 Afshar 2002, 162 (based on Munzavi's *Fihrist*). He provides a glimpse into a great number of Persian travelogues he had been collecting for decades and introduces seven Safavid travelogues: *Futūḥ al-ḥaramain*, *Ḥiṭāynāma*, *Nūr al-mašriqain*, *Baḥr al-asrār fi manāqib al-aḥyār* (not included in our list, see n. 12), travelogue of Sā'ī Širvānī, *Anīs al-Ḥuḡḡāḡ*, *Safīna-yi Sulaimānī* (Afshar 2002, 146–148).

9 Karāči 1381, 33. It has to be noted that Fragner (1979, 20–49) had earlier suggested the same typology for Qajar travelogues (*ziyārat*, *sifārat*, and private travel). In her encompassing study, which is accompanied by a catalogue of 692 Persian travelogues, Karāči (1381, 17) has identified thirteen travelogues dating from the Mongol through Afsharid eras, among which seven can be attributed to the Safavid era: *Mihmānnāma-yi Buḥārā*, *Nūr al-mašriqain*, *Anīs al-Ḥuḡḡāḡ*, *Safīna-yi Sulaimānī*, *Safarnāma-yi manzūm-i Makka*, *Safarnāma-yi Makka*, and Lāhiḡī's *Tārīḥ-i aḥvāl* (see Table 1). The latter was, however, as well as the *Mihmānnāma-yi Buḥārā*, not included in our list, see n. 12.

10 Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 21.

11 This is due to the fact that they were mostly, as were their European counterparts, based on diaries; Stagl 1995, 50.

12 For example, the *Mihmānnāma-yi Buḥārā* by Faẓlallāh b. Rūzbihān Ḥunḡī (ed. Manūčīhr Sitūda, Tehran, 2535); *Tazkira-yi Šafavīya-yi Kirmān* by Mīr Muḥammad Sa'īd Mušīzī (ed. Muḥammad Ibrāhīm Bāstānī Pārīzī, Tehran, 1369); Muṭribī Samarqandī's *Ḥāṭīrāt* (ed. 'Abdol Ghani Mirzoyef, Karachi, 1977); Maḥmūd b. Amīr Valī Balḡī's *Baḥr al-asrār fī manāqib al-aḥyār* (the travel section was edited by Riazul Islam, Karachi, 1980); the *Ġāmi'-i Muḡīdī* by Mīrzā Muḥammad Muḡīd Bāfqi Yazdī (ed. Īraḡ Afšār, Tehran, 1340–1342); Nik Rāy's *Tazkira*; Muḥammad Ḥazīn Lāhiḡī's *Tārīḥ-i aḥvāl* (ed. Francis Belfour, London, 1831); the anonymous *Risāla dar zirā'-i Makka* (ed. Rasūl Ġā'fariyān 1395, 9–27) and *Farsī's Zirā'-i Madīna* (ed. Ġā'fariyān 1395, 29–130); it appears that Ḥusain 'Alī Ḥān Zangana, the son of the famous Šaiḡ 'Alī Ḥān Zangana (d. 1101/1689), had also penned a pilgrimage travelogue, of which only the preface, written by Muḥammad Masīḡ Fasā'ī in 1091/1680–1681 survives (the latter was edited by Ġā'fariyān 1395, 131–139). On the mentioned accounts, see Foltz 1996; Afshar 2002, 148; Karāči 1381, 17; Ġā'fariyān

is not extensive (Table 1), but brings together twenty-two Safavid travelogues that have hitherto come to my notice; some have already received some attention, others less so. At least fourteen of them are versified. It has been argued that especially Ḥāqānī's maṣnavī *Tuḥfat al-ʿIrāqain* ("A Gift from the Two Iraqs", 1157), written in the *hazağ* metre, served as a model for some later versified travelogues<sup>13</sup> (even if not necessarily reporting on a pilgrimage), in the manner of *istiqbāl*. In his *Tuḥfat*, a poem divided into 6 (or 7) chapters, Ḥāqānī describes himself as a prisoner unable to perform the pilgrimage by himself and thus asks the sun to travel there. Then the single stages of the journey are elaborated, and in the end Ḥāqānī appears to find relief (and an ideal master).<sup>14</sup>

In cases where I was unable to gather sufficient information about a text, I decided to include it in the list, although further investigation is needed to see whether the above-mentioned criteria apply to it. The identified travelogues can be grouped according to Karāčī's typology, albeit with sometimes overlapping categories. Eight accounts report on private travels, four on missions, and ten on pilgrimages. Next to pilgrimage sites, India was, of course, a common destination, but single (diplomatic and mercantile) travelers went as far east as China and west as Europe.

### Private travels (*siyāḥat*)

As early as the time of Shah Ismāʿil I (r. 1501–1524), ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī, probably a native of Transoxiana (maybe Buḥārā)<sup>15</sup>, made his way to China. His travelogue, the *Ḥiṭāy-nāma*,<sup>16</sup> was, as a panegyric

1382, 133, 135–136; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2004; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 120–128, 131–159, 179–221, 226–239; Babayan 2012, 30–32; McChesney 2012, 524–530; Subrahmanyam 2014. See also Maurice Herbet's document-based reconstruction of Muḥammad Riḏā Big's 1715 visit to the court of Louis XIV (*Une ambassade persane sous Louis XVI d'après des documents inédits*, Paris, 1907) and Willem Floor's description of Mūsā Big's 1625 mission to Amsterdam (*Avvalin sufārā-yi Īrān va Hulland*, Tehran, 1356). Sohrabi (2012, 13–14) convincingly argues that denoting a text as travelogue (or not) is currently based on our (normative) definitions rather than on the records themselves. This problematic aspect becomes quite clear when considering that for example Ḥunḡī (2535, 6) intended to name his *Mihmānnāma-yi Buḥārā* a *safarnāma*, although it lacks, from a present-day point of view, the centrality of travel. However, common characteristics of what we perceive here as travelogues cannot be overlooked either.

13 Cf. Beelaert 2000, 12 n. 53; Beelaert 2013; Afshar 2002, 147; Babayan 2012, 32.

14 Beelaert 2000 and 2013. She regards the poem as a *ḥabsīya* ("prison poem").

15 Mazahéri 1983, 85.

16 Mazahéri 1983, 83–283 (annotated French translation); Afshar 2002, 146; Kauz 2011.

Table 1 Persian travelogues written in the Safavid era.

Author	Title	Travel year/ composition	Additional information	Edition
Muhyī ad-Dīn Lārī	<i>Futūḥ al-ḥaramain / Asrār al-ḥağğ</i>	Written in 911 / 1505–1506	Pilgrimage; maṣnavī; dedicated to Muzaffar Šāh (II?)	ed. ‘Alī Muḥaddis, Tehran, 1366. ed. Rasūl Ğa‘fariyān, Qum, 1373.
‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭāṭ	<i>Ḥiṭāyānāma</i>	Written in 922 / 1516	Trip to China, account offered to Sultan Selim I	ed. Īrağ Afšār, Tehran, 1357.
	<i>[Safarnāma-yi Bağdād]</i>	Written in 941 / 1534–1535	Military campaign to Baghdad; maṣnavī	unpublished
Aḥmad Miskīn	<i>Ḥağğnāma</i>	Written in 955 / 1548–1549	Pilgrimage; maṣnavī	partly ed. Rasūl Ğa‘fariyān, Qum, 1374 [96–106].
Faiżī (Dakanī)	<i>[Safarnāma-yi ḥağğ]</i>	Journey 986–987 / 1578–1579	Pilgrimage	unpublished?
Uruch Beg/ Don Juan	<i>Relaciones</i>	Journey 1007–1010 / 1599–1602 published in 1604	Diplomatic mission to Europe	ed. 1604, Valladolid. ed. Alonso Cortés, Madrid, 1946. transl. Guy Le Strange, New York, 1926.
Malik Šāh Ḥusain Sistānī	<i>Tuḥfat al-ḥaramain</i>	Journey 1017 / 1608–1609	Pilgrimage to Mecca; maṣnavī	unpublished
Šaraf ad-Dīn Šafāṭ	<i>Maṭla‘ al-anvār / Mağma‘ al- bahrain</i>	Lived 966–1037 / 1558/9–1627	Pilgrimage; maṣnavī	unpublished
Munīr Lāhūrī	<i>Mazhar-i gul</i>	Written in 1049 / 1640	Journey to Bengal; maṣnavī	ed. Farīd Akram, Tehran, 1388.
Sāṭ Širvānī	<i>Mir‘āt at-ṭarīq [Siyāḥatnāma-yi Sāṭ]</i>	Journey 1050–1058 / 1640–1648	Private travel? Journey from Širvān to Işfahān and India; maṣnavī	unpublished
Sālik Qazvīnī	<i>Muḥiṭ al-kaunain</i>	Written in 1061 / 1650	Journey to India; maṣnavī	ed.(?) ‘Abd aṣ- Şamad Ḥaқиқat, Tehran, 1372. <sup>1</sup>

1 I was unable to access this publication, and thus I am unaware if it includes the *Muḥiṭ al-kaunain*.

Table 1 (continuation)

Author	Title	Travel year/ composition	Additional information	Edition
'Abdallāh Bihištī Haravī	<i>Nūr al-mašriqain</i>	Surviving copy dates from 1067 / 1657	Private travel Persian cities (Qum, Mašhad), India, Kashmir; mašnavī dedicated to Shah 'Abbās II	ed. N. Māyil Haravī, Mašhad, 1988.
Abū l-Baqā Kanğāhī	<i>Čahār fašl-i Kābul</i>	During the reign of Aurangzeb	From India(?) to Herat	unpublished
Šafī b. Valī Qazvīnī	<i>Anīs al-Ḥuğğāğ</i>	1087 / 1676–1677	Pilgrimage to Mecca (from India)	unpublished
Muḥammad Rabī'	<i>Safīna-yi Sulaimānī</i>	Journey 1096–1099 / 1685–1688	Diplomatic mission to Siam	ed. 'Abbās Fārūqī, Tehran, 2536. transl. John O'Kane, London, 1972.
Wife of Mīrzā Ḥalīl	<i>[Safarnāma-yi manzūm-i ḥağğ/ Makka]</i>	Manuscript dated 1104 / 1692–1693	Pilgrimage; mašnavī	ed. Rasūl Ġa'fariyān, Qum, 1374.
Allāh Yār Šūfī (Naqšbandī Samarqandī)	<i>Ḥağğnāma</i>	d. 1133 / 1720– 1721	Pilgrimage; mašnavī	ed. Rasūl Ġa'fariyān, Qum, 1394 and 1395, 141–155.
Muḥammad [b.] Dāvud Işfahānī	<i>Safarnāma-yi manzūm[-i Mašhad]</i>	d. 1133 / 1720– 1721	Trip from Işfahān to Mašhad; mašnavī	unpublished
Žiyā' ad-Dīn Āl-i Kaivān Qārī	<i>Safarnāma-yi Makka</i>	Written in 1129 / 1716–1717	Pilgrimage	unpublished
Ḥasrat Mašhadī	<i>[Safar ba Hind] and [Pilgrimage mašnavī]</i>	Autograph written in 1144 / 1731–1732	Two mašnavīs; one on his travel to Jerusalem(?), the other on his journey to India	unpublished
A Safavid vizier	<i>Safarnāma-yi Ḥurāsān</i>	?	Journey to Ḥurāsān	unpublished

suggests, dedicated to the Ottoman Sultan Selim I (r. 1512–1520).<sup>17</sup> Although ‘Abdallāh Bihištī Haravī’s (1597–1659) *maṣnavī*, *Nūr al-mašriqain*—initially written for the Mughal prince Murād Baḥš, but later dedicated to Shah ‘Abbās II (r. 1642–1666)—was modeled after Ḥāqānī’s *Tuḥfat al-‘Irāqain*, it is not limited to the description of pilgrimage sites but also portrays the author’s journeys to Iran and India.<sup>18</sup> The seventeenth/eighteenth-century poet Ḥasrat Mašhadī, who had been born in India, and later returned to Iran with his family, wrote a *maṣnavī* on a journey to the subcontinent.<sup>19</sup>

Five further travelogues are provisionally listed here, yet need further study: Sā‘ī Širvānī traveled from Širvān to the court of ‘Abbās II, and later to India, and his travelogue too is modeled on Ḥāqānī’s *Tuḥfat al-‘Irāqain*.<sup>20</sup> The same applies to Sālik Qazvīnī’s (seventeenth century) travelogue, an author who traveled to India, where he met Bihištī Haravī.<sup>21</sup> Another traveler, Abū l-Baqā Kanḡāhī, possibly of Indian origin, made his way to Herat during the days of Aurangzeb (r. 1658–1707).<sup>22</sup> A Safavid vizier recorded his (diplomatic?) journey to Ḥurāsān.<sup>23</sup> The seventeenth-century Indian poet Munīr Lāhūrī devoted his Persian travelogue, a *maṣnavī*, to the description of Bengal.<sup>24</sup>

The many instances of people traveling between India and Iran within this category illustrate that a great number of Iranians during the Safavid

17 ‘Ali Akbar Ḥiṭā‘ī 1357, 26–27. There is some confusion in terms of whom the travelogue was dedicated to. It appears in some manuscripts, the panegyric addresses Suleiman I (r. 1520–1566). Afshar (in ‘Ali Akbar Ḥiṭā‘ī 1357, 22), pointing to the fact that Suleiman was not called Sulaimān Šāh (as appears in the text), convincingly suggests a simple misspelling (*Sulaimān-šāh* instead of *Sulaimān-šā‘n*; thus, Selim is being compared to the prophet Sulaimān). See also Kauz 2011. Kahle (1934, 94) proposes that the travelogue was initially dedicated to Selim and that his name, after his death, was replaced (see also Mazahéri 1983, 95; Mazahéri asks if the author initially might have wanted to dedicate the travelogue to Shah Ismā‘īl I; Mazahéri 1983, 94). It has also been doubted if the author ever traveled to China (Liu 1983, 58–59, 75–77); but Kahle states (1934, 96): “Es unterliegt gar keinem Zweifel, daß der Verfasser im wesentlichen berichtet, was er selber gesehen, oder was er an Ort und Stelle in Erfahrung gebracht hat.” Yazici (1985) does not consider the book a travelogue, “since it gives no indications of the author’s routes.”

18 Afshar 2002, 147; Karācī 1381, 17; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 221–226; Babayan 2012, 32–33; Mancini-Lander 2012; Beelaert 2013.

19 Munzavī 1374, 88; Yalamahā 1391, 133.

20 Munzavī 1374, 138; Beelaert 2000, 12 n. 53. As I have not seen the manuscript, I am not completely sure whether his travel can be classified as ‘private’.

21 Munzavī 1374, 148; Iqbāl Šahīd 1378. Since he also visited holy places of Islam, his travelogue could also be grouped under “Pilgrimage.”

22 Munzavī 1374, 74.

23 Munzavī 1374, 106.

24 Munzavī 1374, 153.

era left their homeland in search of a better life (mostly in India);<sup>25</sup> some of them—or even their descendants—however, returned to pay their former homeland a visit, or resettle there.

### Missions (*ma'mūriyat*)

One of the most prominent examples within this category is Don Juan of Persia/Uruch (Uluğ) Beg (1560–1604), who was sent on a diplomatic mission to Europe, initially guided by Sir Anthony Sherley (1565–1635), during the days of Shah 'Abbās I (r. 1588–1629). He set off from Işfahān in 1599 and arrived in Spain in 1601. His travelogue, the *Relaciones*, based on Uruch Beg's daily notes in Persian (“a careful diary”), was written down in Castilian by his friend Alfonso Rémon, published in Valladolid in 1604, and more than three centuries later was translated into English by Guy Le Strange.<sup>26</sup>

Another well-known travelogue, mostly in rhymed prose, the *Safīna-yi Sulaimānī*, describes a diplomatic mission to Siam/Thailand in 1685, dispatched by Shah Sulaimān (r. 1666–1694).<sup>27</sup>

Muḥammad [b.?] Dāvud Işfahānī's (d. 1720 or 1721) journey from Işfahān to Maşhad cannot strictly be considered a mission. He went to the city because he was appointed the governor (*vālī*) of Maşhad and recorded his trip in form of a *maşnavī*, using the pen-name 'Işq.<sup>28</sup> The *Safarnāma-yi Bağdād* (actually *Safar-i farḥunda-aşar-i hazrat-i pādīšāh-i 'ālam* [. . .] *ba-Bağdād* [. . .]), being inspired by Firdausī's *Šāhnāma*, reports on Sultan Selim I and his war against Ṭahmāsb (r. 1524–1576), leading to the Ottoman capture of Baghdad (1534).<sup>29</sup> Hence, this account focuses rather on a military campaign and warfare than a journey *strictu sensu*.

25 Cf. Haneda 1997, 134–135 who distinguishes two types—forced and voluntary—of migration from Safavid Iran to India.

26 Uruch Beg 1926, v, 299; see Rahimieh 2001, 21–38. It appears that in general, ambassadors were not tasked with writing their travel down and the fewer of them decided to do so self-motivated; Matthee 1998, 242.

27 This travelogue has built the fundament of several studies on the Persian community in Siam in the seventeenth century; see for example Marcinkowski 2000; 2002; 2003 (esp. 18–43); 2006. See also Afshar 2002, 147; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 159–171.

28 Munzavī 1353, 4033; Munzavī 1374, 123; Mahallati 2011, 834–835.

29 Şafā 1371, vol. 5/1, 577; Munzavī 1374, 99.

### Pilgrimage (*hağğ, ziyārat*)

A large number of Safavid travelogues are devoted to the pilgrimage to the holy sites of Islam.<sup>30</sup> A famous example is the widow of Mīrzā Ḥalīl, the *raqamnīvīs* in the Dīvān-i A<sup>l</sup>ā of Shah Sulaimān. This is probably the first Persian travelogue ever written by a woman—“a rare feminine voice within a masculine domain of representation and travel.”<sup>31</sup> It takes the form of a *maṣnavī* comprising about 1200 couplets.<sup>32</sup>

Other travelogue writers who reported on their pilgrimage are Muḥyi ad-Dīn Lārī (d. 1526/7; *maṣnavī*, probably dedicated to the ruler in Gujarat, Muẓaffar Šāh [II?; d. 1526])<sup>33</sup>—whose *Futūḥ al-ḥaramain*, maybe due to the redactions it underwent, displays elements of a manual—Ziyā<sup>ʿ</sup> ad-Dīn Āl-i Kaivān Qārī,<sup>34</sup> Šafī b. Valī Qazvīnī (journey to Mecca and Medina in 1087/1676–1677),<sup>35</sup> Faiẓī (Dakanī; 1547–1595; trip to Mecca from 986–987/1578–1579; *maṣnavī*?),<sup>36</sup> and Ḥasrat Mašhadī (seventeenth and eighteenth century; *maṣnavī*)<sup>37</sup>. Malik Šāh Ḥusain Sīstānī (b. 1579/80), author of the *Iḥyā<sup>ʿ</sup> al-mulūk*, composed a *maṣnavī*, modeled after Ḥāqānī’s *Tuḥfat al-ʿIrāqain*, on his journey to Mecca.<sup>38</sup>

Aḥmad Miskīn’s (sixteenth century) *maṣnavī*, the *Hağğnāma*, is based on the author’s own pilgrimage, but might also have served as a manual, as he often directly addresses his reader. Miskīn possibly lived in the Ottoman realm.<sup>39</sup> A Sufi from Transoxiana, Allāh Yār Šūfī Naqšbandī Samarqandī (d. 1720/21)<sup>40</sup>, wrote a further versified *Hağğnāma*; as the author repeatedly asks the future pilgrim to pray for him during the journey, it is not completely clear whether the account is based on his personal experience, or whether our Sufi asks his addressee to go there instead of himself.

30 Not only those travelogues written in Persian which are considered here survive, but also a number of Arabic ones. A systematic comparison of these Persian and Arabic pilgrimage travelogues has yet to be undertaken.

31 Babayan 2012, 27. There were, of course, Iranian women who had traveled, even as far as Europe, before; see Tavakoli-Targhi 1993, 74.

32 Introduced and/or discussed in Afshar 2002, 161; Karācī 1381, 56–58, 64–66; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 24–42; Huseynova 2010; Mahallati 2011, 834–838; Babayan 2012, 27–30.

33 Muḥyi ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 2; Afshar 2002, 146.

34 Munzavī 1353, 4031; Munzavī 1374, 124; Karācī 1381, 17.

35 Storey 1953, 1141–1142 (no. 1592); Munzavī 1353, 3992; Karācī 1381, 17; Afshar 2002, 147.

36 Storey 1953, 1141 (no. 1590); Munzavī 1374, 104, on Faiẓī, see Šafā 1371, vol. 5/2, 838–857.

37 Yalamahā 1391, 133.

38 Šafā 1371, vol. 5/3, 1725 n. 2; Beelaert 2000, 12 n. 53; Beelaert 2013.

39 Ğā<sup>ʿ</sup>fariyān 1374, 96.

40 For further information on the author, see Ğā<sup>ʿ</sup>fariyān 1395, 143–144.

A further *maṣnavī*, modeled on Ḥāqānī's *Tuḥfat al-ʿIrāqain* and possibly a travelogue, must be mentioned here. It was penned by Šaraf ad-Dīn Ḥasan Šafāʿī/Šifāʿī (1558/9–1627), the physician of Shah ʿAbbās I.<sup>41</sup> As might be expected, it is (just like his three other *maṣnavīs*) not included in the edited *Dīvān*. As far as we know, the only extended trip he undertook brought him to Ḥurāsān, where he paid a visit to Mašhad.<sup>42</sup> Should the *maṣnavī* mentioned be a travelogue, we will have to expect that it deals with this journey. According to an existing (very brief) description, in this *maṣnavī*, nature and objects are addressed and the poet lays down his points of view.<sup>43</sup>

In the following section, I focus on five travelogues belonging to different categories, authored by ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī (private travel), Uruch Beg and Muḥammad Rabīʿ (diplomatic missions), the wife of Mīrzā Ḥalīl and Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī (pilgrimage trips).

## Social background

Most of the authors were part of the social elite, “a literate minority in an ocean of illiteracy”<sup>44</sup> able to afford travel. Two of our five writers were in government service; another was the well-off widow of a court secretary. Of the two remaining authors, one may have been a merchant while the other is probably an example of a less wealthy traveler.

Ralph Kauz, pointing to the fact that ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī repeatedly refers to himself as *qalandar*, suggests that “this might be more to stress his humbleness than to show an affiliation to any dervish order”.<sup>45</sup> What we know is that he was a Sunni from Transoxiana, somehow inclined to (Naqšbandī?)<sup>46</sup> Sufi thought.<sup>47</sup> Aly Mazahéri states that ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī might have been born in Buḥārā and suggests he spent several years of his youth in China (and the rest of it in Samarqand), and that he was a merchant.<sup>48</sup> Afshar calls his poems “weak”;<sup>49</sup> Mazahéri thinks that the author has only had an

41 Rypka 1968, 300; Šafāʿī 1362, cxxxvi–cxxxvii; Šafā 1371, vol. 5/2, 1079; Beelaert 2000, 12 n. 53; Beelaert 2013.

42 Šafāʿī 1362, xlv.

43 Šafiʿiyūn 1388, 125.

44 Melville 2012, 64.

45 Kauz 2011.

46 Mazahéri 1983, 86–87.

47 ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī 1357, 6.

48 Mazahéri 1983, 85.

49 ʿAlī Akbar Ḥiṭāʿī 1357, 6.

elementary education and considers him a “homme pratique” and “homme du peuple”.<sup>50</sup>

Uruch Beg belonged to the Bayāt clan and served as a leading army member. He further informs us that he had “studied somewhat in the Arabic and Turkish tongues, learning the principles too of the Alcoran”.<sup>51</sup> As he also offers a detailed account of the Safavid dynasty, we may assume that he had also received some lessons in history. His father was Sulṭān ‘Alī Big Bayāt who had been a noble at the court of Shah Muḥammad Ḥudābanda (r. 1578–1587).<sup>52</sup> When Sulṭān ‘Alī Big Bayāt died in a battle against the Turks, Muḥammad Ḥudābanda “who had indeed loved” him, “ordered a picture to be painted [ . . . ] and the picture still may be seen placed above the door of one of the Mosques of Tabriz [ . . . ].”<sup>53</sup>

Uruch Beg tells us that the members of the embassy to Europe he was part of “were all grandees of his court, of high rank”.<sup>54</sup> He penned his account “in order to give an account thereof later to the king of Persia”—but after converting, he decided to offer it to his new sovereign King Philipp III instead. Thus, it appears that Shah ‘Abbās I asked him—an army member appointed the First secretary to the Persian ambassador Ḥusain ‘Alī Big (see Fig. 1)—to take notes and give a detailed account of his trip.

Uruch Beg shares some characteristics with Muḥammad Rabīʿ, who belonged to the royal *ḥāṣṣa*. After the religious part of the introduction, he introduces himself as Ibn Muḥammad Ibrāhīm Muḥammad Rabīʿ, “muḥarrir-i sarkār-i tufangčiyān” (scribe to the contingent of royal musketeers).<sup>55</sup> He was chosen as the official scribe of an embassy led by Muḥammad Ḥusain Big (the officer of the *ḥāṣṣa*) and which consisted of “Qūrčīs, Ghulāms, Tūpchīs and Tufan[g]chīs.”<sup>56</sup> John O’Kane calls him a “small man in a big bureaucracy”<sup>57</sup> who tries “to convey the image of the scribe as a man of letters, endowed with balanced views on everyday morality and generosity.”<sup>58</sup> He further elaborates that “the author’s metaphors derive from many different fields of Muslim letters and science such as medicine, theology, and

50 Mazahéri 1983, 90.

51 Uruch Beg 1926, 35.

52 Uruch Beg 1926, 3, 158 ff.

53 Uruch Beg 1926, 193.

54 Uruch Beg 1926, 234.

55 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 4 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 17).

56 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 8 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 20).

57 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 6.

58 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 12.



Figure 1 Portrait of Ḥusain 'Ali Big. By Aegidius Sadeler, Prague, 1601 (Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, Object number RP-P-1941-285).

alchemy [. . .]. The overall effect which it is aimed at is to appear elegant, clever and somewhat learned and above all, worldly.<sup>59</sup>

Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī (d. 1526/7), a student of Ġalāl ad-Dīn Davānī, was a Sunni poet inclined to Sufi thought. He spent some time in Fārs, and probably dwelled in Tabrīz for a couple of years. After having performed the *ḥaḡḡ*, he went to Gujarat, maybe because of the pressure Sunnis were exposed to after the advent of Shah Ismā'īl I.<sup>60</sup> Our anonymous Iṣfahānī

59 Muḥammad Rabī 1972, 12.

60 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 1–2, 16.

traveler was, as mentioned, the educated widow of a *raqamnivīs*, and “must have belonged to a privileged family”.<sup>61</sup> We are aware of an Iṣfahānī circle of learned women and respective “female networks of learning”, where women taught other women. In the late seventeenth century, as Devin Stewart has shown on basis of a biographical dictionary, these sessions took place in the home of the descendents of a vizier—Ḥalīfa Sulṭān (d. 1064 / 1653–54)<sup>62</sup>—and it may well be assumed that the nameless widow had benefitted from this kind of higher learning.

## Motives

Kathryn Babayan writes:

Never far from the mind of the author / audience is the realization that destiny determines every traveler’s fate, and hesitation is a necessary stage before a male or female traveler can embark on an adventurous journey toward discovery, fortune, calamity, or, perhaps, death.<sup>63</sup>

What were then the travelers’ motives for setting out on a dangerous journey? It will become obvious that generally speaking, in the Safavid era travel could not yet “be legitimized as a goal in itself”<sup>64</sup>; travelers had to have socially accepted motives. Among these figure social prestige (in case of diplomatic missions), the accomplishment of religious duties, and economic incentives. This does not, on the other hand, necessarily imply that other motives, such as pure curiosity or *Wanderlust*, did not play any role.<sup>65</sup> On the contrary, socially accepted motives could in some instances serve as a smokescreen for more personal ones.

It was probably considered a great honour to be selected a member of an embassy; Muḥammad Rabīʿ states that he had long dreamed of gaining his king’s “special affections”.<sup>66</sup> The report makes quite clear that he was an

61 Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 25; see also Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, introduction p. 10.

62 Stewart 2011, 119.

63 Babayan 2012, 25.

64 Stagl 1995, 11.

65 Stagl 1995; Netton 1986, 37–38. Netton argues that at least Ibn Baṭṭūṭa’s fourteenth-century *Riḥla* implies a “pilgrim paradigm”, comprising not only of the search for knowledge, recognition, and a “religious geography”, but also of the “satisfaction of a basic wanderlust”.

66 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 8 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 20–21).

ambitious individual who hoped for promotion. When several of the embassy members, including the ambassador, died, Muḥammad Rabīʿ “was only spared by the heat of these hardships in order to render this account to our awesome king”.<sup>67</sup> Muḥammad Rabīʿ hoped to be chosen as the new ambassador, saying “I myself would be best suited to perform the presentation”, but the remaining embassy members opted for Ibrāhīm Big instead, a *ḡulām* (slave) of the *hāṣṣa*.<sup>68</sup>

Apart from those sent on a diplomatic mission, a personal crisis—often linked with the symbolic age of 40—seems to have been an incentive. Many travelers suffered from a kind of melancholy, had lost an important person, or were unsatisfied with their jobs in the bureaucratic apparatus. These motives can already be observed in pre-Safavid travelogues: Nāṣir Ḥusrau had had a dream in which he was told to do the pilgrimage after forty years of “sleep” and quit his job.<sup>69</sup> Ḥāqānī’s case is similar—in a kind of vision, Ḥiz̄r warned him not to waste his talent on secular poetry and panegyrics, but to praise God alone with his verses.<sup>70</sup> Among our Safavid travelers too, some longed to change their lives, such as the Iṣfahānī widow.<sup>71</sup> In the beginning of her account, she writes:

When the deceitful, turning sky slashed my liver,/ snatching off  
my friend, my life’s breath [yār-i damsāz],/ restful sleep was out-  
lawed from my bed,/ and no other salve came to my mind but travel  
[nadīdam čāra’ī ḡair az siyāḡat]./ I had neither sleep at night, nor  
peace by day,/ until I readied myself for circling the Ka’aba [sic].<sup>72</sup>

It was suggested that the Iṣfahānī widow might have undertaken the pilgrimage to Mecca to get around “the objections of interfering relatives”<sup>73</sup> and could have wanted to flee Iṣfahān and her (husband’s) family for a while. Also, except for her initial visit to her husband’s grave (“*gulzār-i Ḥalīl*”), he is not mentioned much in the text. Instead, the traveler chooses a route that allows her to visit an old friend (*rafiq*, *yār*), a woman whom she knew from Iṣfahān and who now lived in the author’s birth-place of Urdūbād. One cannot fail to note how she couches the relationship between

67 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 41 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 48).

68 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 53 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 58).

69 Nāṣir Ḥusrau 1375, 2.

70 Ḥāqānī 1357, 54–69.

71 Babayan 2012, 30. Ġāʿfariyān calls her “*saḡt afsurda*” (deeply depressed); Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, introduction p. 10.

72 Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, 23; transl. Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 26.

73 Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 25.

the two in sufiesque vocabulary, displaying a motif of separation and union (*fīrāq*, *hiğrān*, *vaşl*). The 22-day-long stay in the house of the unnamed friend seems to have been the longest stop in the whole journey.<sup>74</sup> There is another hint the widow offers; before she leaves, she girds her waist (“*kamar bar-bastam*”). Though this could be considered a mere metaphor, it again takes us to the world of mysticism and sufiesque *futuvvat* (spiritual chivalry), where the belt is a symbol for the (of course, usually male) novice’s initiation. Indeed, the similarity to Kāşifī Sabzivārī’s (d. 1504) wording in *Futuvvatnāma-yi sulṭānī* is striking; when he talks about the importance of travel, he states: “*ādamī-rā az safar čāra nīst*” (Man has no choice but to travel).<sup>75</sup> Is our widow thus a traveler on the path of Sufism?

Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī, too, may have experienced some discontent with his life (the political disruption could have played some role). He writes that his heart suddenly fell in unrest (“*dar dilam uftād yakī iẓtirāb*”) and that the wish to visit the *ḥaram* overwhelmed him (“*şauq-i ḥaram dar dil-i man ğūş zad*”).<sup>76</sup> ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī’s motives for traveling remain opaque; it has been argued that he was a merchant and thus possibly went to China for business, which could explain why he traveled for a comparatively short period of time (ca. 100 days, of which he spent twenty-six in prison).<sup>77</sup> Mazahéri suggests that ‘Alī Akbar was actually part of an embassy from Transoxiana.<sup>78</sup> The author does not indicate this (he may of course have omitted the respective sections after fleeing Tabriz and dedicating the travelogue to the Ottoman sultan), but only says that he went, along with twelve others, as an *ilçī* to see the Chinese emperor.<sup>79</sup> Would the Chinese emperor receive simple merchants, providing them with a festive meal and honors? Would he have, on the other hand, all twelve ambassadors imprisoned, although only one of them had committed a crime (but they were treated comparatively mildly)? Be that as it may, in the *ḥuṭba* to his travelogue, he states that he wanted, as was customary, to offer a strange or marvelous gift (*tuḥfa*) to Sultan Selim

74 Bānūyī Işfahānī 1374, 41–42.

75 Kāşifī 1350, 241. Here I have been inspired by a passage of Babayan’s study (2002, 202), where she gives Kāşifī’s thoughts about traveling in translation: “Know that man has no choice but to travel, for from the time that he was a sperm [*nutfah*] he was traveling and shall travel to the end. His first house was the loins [*sub*] of his father, and then he traveled to the womb of his mother, until he arrived at the third stage, which is this world, and here he shall travel forty stages [*manzīl*] until he travels toward eternity.”

76 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 35.

77 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 3. However, Mazahéri (1983, 88–89) argues that the author might have traveled to China several times.

78 Mazahéri 1983, 85.

79 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 100.

and thus decided to offer an account of the ‘strange’ customs of China to him.<sup>80</sup> As we will see, this gift included much didactic advice. Only a little later in the text, ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī elaborates that the Timurid ruler Ulūg Beg had commissioned a travelogue on China—maybe to convince Selim that his account is valuable and should be rewarded?<sup>81</sup>

In spite of differing motives, a journey could also provoke a crisis—Uruch Beg, who had left his family behind in Iran, converted to Christianity (as did several of his traveling companions) and turned his back on his home country. Once baptized a Roman Catholic in Spain, he received his new name, Don Juan.<sup>82</sup> Initially, he had planned to return to Persia and bring his wife and son to Spain, but in the end he decided to stay in Spain, because the Persian ambassador understood that he had converted and would ask for his punishment once back home.<sup>83</sup>

Others, for instance the Iṣfahānī widow, find relief in Mecca (“sabuk šud puštām az šiqḷ-i gunāhān”; “ḡam va dard va alam faramūš kardam”)<sup>84</sup>; after having offered a sacrifice she finally has “the sense of receiving the ‘letter of freedom from the hell-fire’.”<sup>85</sup> This calm is a typical feature of pilgrimage accounts; once in Mecca, the pain is relieved, the heart starts to fly and the pilgrims find a new reason to live.<sup>86</sup> However, the Iṣfahānī widow’s relief does not last for long, because leaving Mecca is painful for her (“ḡudā har ‘uzv-i man āmad ba faryād ki az hiḡrān-i Ka‘ba dād-i bī-dād”).<sup>87</sup> Just like her, Muḡyī ad-Dīn Lārī also experiences a state of unification (*viṣāl*) with God.<sup>88</sup>

## Reference and audience

Persian travelogues in the first instance addressed, of course, a Persian speaking, learned elite audience, although we “have little idea of how these texts were read.”<sup>89</sup> In the case of those travelogues commissioned by a ruler,

80 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 27. This *tuhfa* motif reminds of Ḥāqānī, who offered his gift from the “two Iraqs”, thus his travelogue, to Ġamāl ad-Dīn Mausili.

81 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 28.

82 Uruch Beg 1926, 299–302.

83 Uruch Beg 1926, 303–305.

84 Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, 76.

85 Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 40; Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, 78.

86 See also Muḡyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 41–43.

87 Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, 81.

88 Muḡyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 48–49.

89 Cole 1992, 16.

we may expect that the respective king hoped for some useful information—probably military and economic. Travel routes and distances may have been an issue as well. These commissioned accounts served as source of knowledge for diplomacy and future travelers. But at the same time, the travelers could use their accounts, at least when reading between the lines, as a means of criticism and advise.

Uruch Beg clearly states that from the very beginning of his travels, he had the intention “to see everything I could and to set down in writing all I saw on the journey, in order later to publish the same in Persia.”<sup>90</sup> As mentioned before, he was probably commissioned by Shah ‘Abbās I to do so—or had at least tried to write a report with information useful and pleasing to the king. The existing, modified, and mediated account does not reveal much about military issues (abroad). But the fact that a member of the army was asked to write down an account of his travels, might reveal some of the king’s motives and it is interesting that many army officers were also part of the embassy to Siam.<sup>91</sup> Muḥammad Rabīʿ, in the first place, clearly addresses his king (and the courtly society) with a didactic purpose, i.e. by mirroring him as an ‘enlightened’ ruler in search of harmony for his own society and its relation with other countries.<sup>92</sup> As a (potential) merchant, ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭāʾī reports on the Chinese monetary system, commodities, and agricultural products. But he also elaborates, for instance, on the organization of the Chinese army (pointing to the fact that when not exercising, they do construction in the cities, concluding “dar hiç ḥāl Ḥiṭāʾiyān bī-kār nabāšand”).<sup>93</sup> Apparently, he did not have a merely mercantile audience in mind, but actually focuses on topics as diverse as legislation, administrative divisions, and the court. Throughout his report, he pictures China as

90 Uruch Beg 1926, 294.

91 Muḥammad Rabīʿ, in several instances, reports on fortresses and military issues. For example, about Chinapatan, he relates that “the Franks maintain a high standard of discipline and are very cautious when it comes to manning their forts and protecting their cities. Night and day the artillerymen and the musketeers are at their stations on the towers. The wicks are lit and the cannons are loaded and ready to fire. They do not neglect their watch or other duties for a single moment”; Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 24 (transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 36). Description of the war and conflict between Siam and Paigū (Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 86–92); description of the palace guards (93–95); Iranian guards (98); ranks and titles (136–137). The author even suspects that the Siamese king thought the Iranian embassy was part of a plan to push him out of power, because “the whole world knows of Iran’s military exploits, and has good cause to fear the glorious Qizilbāsh who are so fierce in battle.” (Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 54; transl. Muḥammad Rabīʿ 1972, 59–60).

92 Muḥammad Rabīʿ 2536, 7.

93 “The Chinese never have nothing to do”; ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭāʾī 1357, 60–72 (quotation: p. 62).

a model to follow. At some point he remarks that the good state of the Chinese is due to their law (*qānūn*), which even the *ḥāqān* (Emperor) has to comply with;<sup>94</sup> there is clearly a didactic impetus in this, addressed to the (Iranian or Ottoman) ruler. This is a result of the unstable political conditions the author had experienced in his home country, as becomes obvious in a passage where he states that the Chinese army is not hungry for war (and, as said, has enough to do with building and construction) and the Chinese do not want to conquer others' territories ("ḥama' ba mulk-i kas nadārand").<sup>95</sup>

The audience for pilgrimage accounts differs. Some of them, albeit based on the authors' journey, were also meant to serve future pilgrims as manuals and instruct them where exactly to go and what to do. This aspect becomes quite clear in Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī's account, who reports on his own experiences time and again, but elsewhere instructs his recipients where they shall go, and actually recommends them to do the pilgrimage.<sup>96</sup> For example, he elaborately explains how to reach the state of *iḥrām* and how to perform the circumambulation correctly.<sup>97</sup> These explanations were probably unnecessary for his immediate learned *readers*; but they could have been of some use for people his account was read out for. He also records how he sat down one night and thought about many things, when suddenly he was (divinely?) inspired to write down his account, which, like the pilgrimage, "conquered" his heart and soul and he named *Futūḥ al-ḥaramain*.<sup>98</sup> This statement could be directed at a Sufi audience (though the dream trope can appear in virtually every kind of Persian literature and is found in other travelogues, too; see above) and provide his account with some additional legitimacy.

Stylistic beauty and intertextuality (as well as *imitatio/ istiqbāl*) were also issues, not only in the case of travelogues written in *maṣnavī*. The authors wanted to display their education to other educated readers. Thus, they do not only cite the Qur'ān, but also refer to a number of scholarly authorities, historians and poets, among them Faḥr ad-Dīn Rāzī, Ġazālī, 'Aṭṭār, Sa'dī, Mīrḥvānd, Ḥamdallāh Mustaufī, Bīrūnī, Anaxagoras, Democritus, Socrates,

94 'Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā'ī 1357, 32. To quote but one instance of many: "Az ḡahat-i nigāh-dāst-i qānūn ast ki mamlikat-i iṣān čandīn hizār sāl ast ḥarābī nadīda ast va har rūz dar ziyāda šudan ast" ('Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā'ī 1357, 97).

95 'Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā'ī 1357, 66.

96 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 35.

97 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 39–40, 56–60.

98 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 34.

Plato.<sup>99</sup> ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī quotes, among others, Sanā’ī, Sa‘dī, ‘Aṭṭār, Rūmī, Salmān Sāvaḡī, Maḥmūd Šabistarī and Niẓāmī.<sup>100</sup> The Iṣfahānī widow’s style is influenced by Niẓāmī who is cited and mentioned in her account.<sup>101</sup> Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī, too, was inspired by Niẓāmī and modeled his travelogue after his *Maḥzan al-asrār*.<sup>102</sup> He also quotes Ğāmī and a poet called Ğiyās ad-Dīn.<sup>103</sup>

A number of authors, either indirectly or directly, point to travelogues they were acquainted with and thus contextualize their account within a Persian travelogue tradition: Muḥammad Rabī‘, for example, had read Ğiyās ad-Dīn Naqqāš Samarqandī’s travel report on China.<sup>104</sup> ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī refers to a (lost?) travelogue on China by the astronomer Maulānā ‘Alī Qūšḡī [Qūšči] [Šīrī], commissioned by Ulūḡ Big,<sup>105</sup> and maybe he “had recourse [sic] to Islamic works or to a book or travel report written in the Mongol period, and also to the books of Ğiāt-al-dīn Naqqāš and the merchant Solaymān, who had been sent to China by the Timurid Ulūḡ Beg.”<sup>106</sup> The Iṣfahānī widow was probably acquainted with Lārī’s *Futūḥ al-ḥaramain*.<sup>107</sup> And, as stated above already, many authors of versified travelogues had read or at least heard about Ḥāqānī’s *Tuḥfat* and took it as a (formal) model.

Last but not least, travelogues should also be pleasant for their readers. They usually comprise sections elaborating on obstacles that had to be overcome (a trope as old as travelogue writing). Although these obstacles were, under the conditions of travel at the time, quite real, they also form a narrative element and create tension. The audience probably expected some hardship such as crossing the desert or the sea.<sup>108</sup> All of these aspects

99 Muḥammad Rabī‘ 2536, 26, 73, 81, 109; ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 6; Yazici 1985.

100 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 31–32, 44, 45, 46, 50, 63–64, 70, 75–76, 88, 112 etc.

101 Bānūyī Iṣfahānī 1374, introduction pp. 19–20, 68, 75; Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 25; Babayan 2012, 27.

102 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 1, 2.

103 Muḥyī ad-Dīn Lārī 1366, 3, 46–48, 60–61, 97–98, 98–99, 102–103.

104 Muḥammad Rabī‘ 2536, 74.

105 ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī 1357, 28.

106 Yazici 1985.

107 Mahallati 2011, 834; Babayan 2012, 27.

108 “It is a well-known fact that traversing the desert is a major moment in travel narratives in medieval Islam. Its fears are often expressed as a reflection of the sea, and vice [sic] versa” (Touati 2010, 250); Muḥammad Rabī‘’s descriptions of the dangers on sea are vivid; see, for example Muḥammad Rabī‘ 2536, 10–15, 17–19. The Iṣfahānī widow elaborates on different illnesses she suffered from during the journey; see Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007, 29, 32.

mentioned are but preliminary threads which deserve to be followed up more thoroughly in the future. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see how the travelogues discussed were received; this, however, also goes beyond the scope of this article.<sup>109</sup>

## Conclusion

Our five investigated travelogues display a microcosm of the larger early modern travelogue environment. Safavid accounts of travel, as twenty-two hitherto identified examples show, clearly form part of a Persian literary travelogue tradition. This tradition is mainly, but not exclusively, an elitist one, simply because in the early modern era, both traveling and writing were more common in well-off and educated circles. As with other genres, readers expected to find literary codes in these texts, for example, references to acknowledged literature. At the same time, for the sake of “making genre” (Sohrabi), reference was made to earlier travelogues. In many instances, writers—as common in Persian literary tradition—paid homage to predecessors and their works, modeled their own accounts after them, and probably also tried to surpass their literary model. In this light, we may consider many of our Safavid travelogue writers the heirs of Ḥāqānī (who is, however, but one node in a large net of references). Given the literary-cultural framework—which even predefines a set of socially accepted motives—our travelers still make clear that they do have personal ambitions, motivations, fears and hopes. Be it that they wanted to search for relief (and union), like the Iṣfahānī widow did. Be it that they wanted to make use of the experiences they had gained abroad and claim for the rule of law, as the example of ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī has shown. It is in this sense that autobiographical experiences even beyond the journey itself clearly unfold in travelogues.

109 For example, ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭā’ī’s travelogue was translated into Ottoman Turkish in 1582 (Kahle 1934, 92) and served as an important source for “the Ottoman knowledge on China” (Kauz 2011, cf. Hagen 2003). Kauz (2013) further elaborates that “astonishingly the Ottoman empire [. . .] figured rather prominently in Chinese sources after a first embassy arrived in Beijing in 1524 [. . .]. The year 1524, only a few years after the work was finished, could indicate a direct influence on Ottoman diplomacy and commerce toward Central Asia and China by ‘Alī Akbar and his book”. See also Kahle 1956, 324.

## Bibliography

- Afshar, Iraj.** 2002. "Persian Travelogues: A Description and Bibliography." In *Society and Culture in Qajar Iran. Studies in Honor of Hafez Farmayan*, edited by Elton L. Daniel, 145–162. Costa Mesa: Mazda Publishers.
- Alam, Muzaffar, and Sanjay Subrahmanyam.** 2004. "The Making of a Munshi." *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East*, 24 (2): 61–72.
- Alam, Muzaffar, and Sanjay Subrahmanyam.** 2007. *Indo-Persian Travels in the Age of Discoveries, 1400–1800*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭāī.** 1357 [1978]. *Ḥiṭāynāma. Šarḥ-i mušāhidāt-i Sayyid ‘Alī Akbar Ḥiṭāī mu‘āšir-i šāh Ismā‘il-i šafavī dar Čīn*. Edited by İrağ Afşār. Tehran: Markaz-i asnād-i farhangī-yi Āsiyā.
- Ašraf, Aḥmad.** 1388 [2009]. "Tārīḥ, Ḥāṭira, Afsāna." *Buḥārā* 71: 179–192.
- Babayan, Kathryn.** 2002. *Mystics, Monarchs, and Messiahs. Cultural Landscapes of Early Modern Iran*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Babayan, Kathryn.** 2012. "The Topography of Travel in Early Modern Persianate Landscapes." *Harvard Library Bulletin*, n.s. 23 (1–2): 25–34.
- Bānūyī Işfahānī.** 1374 [1995]. *Safarnāma-yi manzūm-i ḥağğ*. Edited by Rasūl Ğā‘fariyān. Qum: Maš‘ar.
- Beelaert, Anna Livia.** 2000. *A Cure for the Grieving. Studies on the Poetry of the 12th-Century Persian Court Poet Khāqānī Širwānī*. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.
- Beelaert, Anna Livia.** 2013. "KATM AL-ĠARĀ‘EB." In *Elr*. Last updated February 15, 2013. Last accessed April 12, 2020. <http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/katm-al-garaeb>.
- Cole, Juan R. I.** 1992. "Invisible Occidentalism: Eighteenth-Century Indo-Persian Constructions of the West." *Iranian Studies* 25 (3–4): 3–16.
- Conermann, Stephan.** 2005. "Politik, Diplomatie und Handel entlang der Seidenstraße im 15. Jahrhundert." In *Die Seidenstraße: Handel und Kulturaustausch in einem eurasischen Weizenetz*, 2nd ed., edited by Ulrich Hübner, Jens Kamlah and Lucian Reinfandt, 187–236. Hamburg: EB-Verlag.
- Conermann, Stephan.** 2013. "Reiseberichte als Erzähltexte." In "Wenn einer eine Reise tut, hat er was zu erzählen." *Präfiguration—Konfiguration—Refiguration in muslimischen Reiseberichten*, edited by Bekim Agai and Stephan Conermann, 7–27. Berlin: EB Verlag.
- Foltz, Richard.** 1996. "Two Seventeenth-Century Central Asian Travellers to Mughal India." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 3rd ser., vol. 6, no. 3 (Nov.): 367–377.
- Fragner, Bernd.** 1979. *Persische Memoirenliteratur als Quelle zur neueren Geschichte Irans*. Wiesbaden: Steiner.
- Ğā‘fariyān, Rasūl.** 1382 [2003]. "Ḥāṭirātī az safarhā-yi ḥağğ az daura-yi šafavī." *Kitāb-i māh-i tāriḥ va ġugrafiyā* 68/69: 133–138.
- Ğā‘fariyān, Rasūl.** 1394 [2015]. "Du risāla dar ḥağğ." *Miqāt-i ḥağğ* 91: 134–161.
- Ğā‘fariyān, Rasūl.** 1395 [2016]. *Sānzādah safarnāma-yi ḥağğ-i (šafavī va) qāğārī-yi dīgar (= Safarnāmahā-yi ḥağğ-i qāğārī, vol. 10)*. Qum: Muvarriḥ.
- Hagen, Gottfried.** 2003. *Ein osmanischer Geograph bei der Arbeit. Entstehung und Gedankenwelt von Kātib Čelebis Ğihānnūmā*. Berlin: Klaus Schwarz Verlag.
- Ḥā‘irī, ‘Abd al-Ḥādī.** 1394 [2015]. *Nuḥustīn rūyārū‘ihā-yi andīšagarān-i Īrān bā du rūya-yi tamaddun-i būrūvāzī-yi ġarb*, 6th ed. Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Hanaway, William L.** 2002. "Persian Travel Narratives: Notes Toward the Definition of a Nineteenth-Century Genre." In *Society and Culture in Qajar Iran*.

- Studies in Honor of Hafez Farmayan*, edited by Elton L. Daniel, 249–268. Costa Mesa: Mazda Publishers.
- Haneda, Masashi.** 1997. “Emigration of Iranian Elites to India during the 16–18th centuries.” In *L’Héritage timouride. Iran—Asie centrale—Inde, XVe—XVIIe siècles*, edited by Maria Szuppe, 129–143. Tachkent: Institut Français d’Études sur l’Asie Centrale.
- Hāqānī Šīrvānī, Afzal ad-Dīn.** 1357 [1978]. *Tuḥfat al-‘Irāqain*. Edited by Yaḥyā Qarīb. Tehran: Amīr Kabīr.
- Hoffmann, Birgit.** 2017. “Reiseliteratur in persischer Sprache.” In *Handbuch der Iranistik*, vol. 2, edited by Ludwig Paul, 313–321. Wiesbaden: Reichert Verlag.
- Hunġī, Fażlallāh b. Rūzbihān.** 2535 [1976]. *Mihmānnāma-yi Buḥārā (Tārīḥ-i pādīšāhī-yi Muḥammad Saibānī)*. Edited by Manūčīhr Sitūda. Tehran: Bungāh-i tarġuma va našr-i kitāb.
- Hunsberger, Alice C.** 2000. *Nasir Khusraw, The Ruby of Badakhshan: A Portrait of the Persian Poet, Traveller and Philosopher*. London: I.B. Tauris.
- Huseynova, Zivar.** 2010. “Banuye Isfahani.” *Journal of Azerbaijani Studies* 13 (4): 59–73.
- Iqbāl Šāhid, Muḥammad.** 1378 [1999]. “Muḥīṭ al-kaunain, safarnāma-yi Sālik Qazvīnī ba Hindūstān.” *Nāma-yi Pārsī* 4 (2): 212–218.
- Kahle, P.** 1934. “Eine islamische Quelle über China um 1500. (Das Kḥiṭāynāme des Ali Ekber).” *Acta Orientalia Societates Orientales Batava Danica Norvegica* 12: 91–110.
- Kahle, P.** 1956. “China as Described by Turkish Geographers from Iranian Sources.” In Kahle, *Opera Minora I*, 312–325. Leiden: E. J. Brill.
- Karāčī, Rūḥangīz.** 1381 [2002]. *Didārḥā-yi dūr. Pažūhišī dar adabīyāt-i safarnāma’ī hamrāh bā kitābšināsī-yi safarnāmahā-yi fārsī*. Tehran: Čāpār.
- Kāšifī Sabzivārī, Mullā Ḥusain Vā’iz.** 1350 [1971]. *Futuvvatnāma-yi sulṭānī*, edited by Muḥammad Ġā’far Maḥġūb. Tehran: Bunyād-i farhang-i Īrān.
- Kauz, Ralph.** 2005. *Politik und Handel zwischen Ming und Timuriden. China, Iran und Zentralasien im Spätmittelalter*. Wiesbaden: Reichert Verlag.
- Kauz, Ralph.** 2011. “KĒTĀY-NĀMA.” Last updated September 6, 2011. Last accessed April 12, 2020. <http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/ketay-nama>.
- Khair, Tabish, Martin Leer, Justin D. Edwards, and Hannah Ziadeh, eds.** 2005. *Other Routes. 1500 Years of African and Asian Travel Writing*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Liu, Yih-Min.** 1983. “A Comparative and Critical Study of Ali Akbar’s Kḥiṭāy-nāma with Reference to Chinese Sources.” *Central Asiatic Journal* 27 (1–2): 58–78.
- Losensky, Paul E.** 1998. *Welcoming Fighānī. Imitation and Poetic Individuality in the Safavid-Mughal Ghazal*. Costa Mesa: Mazda Publishers.
- Mahallati, Amineh.** 2011. “Women as Pilgrims: Memoirs of Iranian Women Travelers to Mecca.” *Iranian Studies* 44: 831–849.
- Mancini-Lander, Derek J.** 2012. “Dreaming the Elixir of Knowledge: How a Seventeenth-Century Poet from Herat Got his Name and Fame.” In *Dreams and Visions in Islamic Societies*, edited by Özgen Felek and Alexander D. Knysh, 77–97. Albany: SUNY Press.
- Marcinkowski, M. Ismail.** 2000. “Persian Religious and Cultural Influences in Siam/Thailand and Maritime Southeast Asia in Historical Perspective: A Plea for a Concerted Interdisciplinary Approach.” *Journal of the Siam Society* 88 (1–2): 186–194.
- Marcinkowski, M. Ismail.** 2002. “The Iranian-Siamese Connection: An Iranian Community in the Thai Kingdom of Ayutthaya.” *Iranian Studies* 35 (1–3): 23–46.
- Marcinkowski, M. Ismail.** 2003. *From Isfahan to Ayutthaya: Contacts Between Iran and Siam in the 17th Century*. Singapore: Pustaka Nasional.

- Marcinkowski, M. Ismail.** 2006. "Holier than Thou': Buddhism and the Thai People in Ebn Mohammad Ebrahim's 17th-Century Travel Account Safine-ye Solaymani." *ZDMG* 156 (2): 379–392.
- Marlow, Louise, ed.** 2011. *The Rhetoric of Biography. Narrating Lives in Persianate Societies*. Boston: Ilex Foundation.
- Matthee, Rudi.** 1998. "Between Aloofness and Fascination: Safavid Views of the West." *Iranian Studies* 31, no. 2 (Spring), Historiography and Representation in Safavid and Afsharid Iran: 219–246.
- Mazahéri, Aly.** 1983. *La Route de la Soie*. Paris: Papyrus.
- McChesney, R. D.** 2012. "Historiography in Central Asia Since the 16th Century." In *A History of Persian Literature X. Persian Historiography*, edited by Charles Melville, 503–531. London: I.B. Tauris.
- Melville, Charles.** 2012. "The Historian at Work." In *A History of Persian Literature X. Persian Historiography*, edited by Charles Melville, 56–100. London: I.B. Tauris.
- Micaleff, Roberta, and Sunil Sharma, eds.** 2013. *On the Wonders of Land and Sea: Persianate Travel Writing*. Boston: Ilex.
- Muhammad Rabī b. Muhammad Ibrāhīm.** 1972. *The Ship of Sulayman*. Translated by John O'Kane. London: Routledge.
- Muhammad Rabī b. Muhammad Ibrāhīm.** 2536 [1977]. *Safīna-yi Sulaimānī (Safar-nāma-yi safir-i Īrān ba Siyām)*. Edited by 'Abbās Fārūqī. Tehran: Intišārāt-i Dānišgāh-i Tihirān.
- Muhyī ad-Dīn Lārī.** 1366 [1987]. *Futūḥ al-ḥaramain*. Edited by 'Alī Muḥaddis. Tehran: Intišārāt-i Ittīlā'āt.
- Munīr Lāhūrī, Abū I-Barakāt.** 1388 [2009]. *Surūdahā va nivīstahā-yi Munīr-i Lāhūrī*. Edited by Farīd Akram. Tehran: Bunyād-i mauqūfāt-i Dr Maḥmūd-i Afsār.
- Munzavī, Aḥmad.** 1353 [1974]. *Fihrist-i nuṣṣahā-yi ḥaṭṭī-yi fārsī*, vol. 6. Tehran: Mu'assisa-yi farhangī-yi maṭṭaqa'ī.
- Munzavī, Aḥmad.** 1374 [1995]. *Fihristvāra-yi kitābhā-yi fārsī*, vol. 1. Tehran: Dāyirat al-ma'arif-i buzurg-i islāmī.
- Nāšir Ḥusrau.** 1375 [1996]. *Safarnāma-yi ḥakīm Nāšir Ḥusrau Qubādiyānī-yi Marvzī*. Edited by Muḥammad Dabīr Siyāqī, 5th ed. Tehran: Zavvār.
- Netton, Ian Richard.** 1986. "Arabia and the Pilgrim Paradigm of Ibn Baṭṭūṭa: A Braudelian Approach." In *Arabia and the Gulf: From Traditional Society to Modern States. Essays in honour of M. A. Shaban's 60th birthday*, edited by Ian Richard Netton, 29–42. London: Croom Helm.
- Pūrgīv, Farīda, and Munīza 'Abdallāhī.** 1383 [2004]. "Gustuḡū-yi ḡān va ḡahān. Niḡāhī ba safarnāmahā-yi fārsī." *Kitāb-i māh-i tāriḥ va ḡuḡrāfiyā* 88/89: 22–33.
- Rahimieh, Nasrīn.** 2001. *Missing Persians. Discovering Voices in Iranian Cultural History*. New York: Syracuse University Press.
- Rypka, Jan.** 1968. *History of Iranian Literature*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Šafā, Zabīḥ Allāh.** 1371 [1992]. *Tāriḥ-i adabiyāt dar Īrān*, vol. 5/1, 7th ed., vol. 5/2, 5th ed., vol. 5/3 2nd ed. Tehran: Firdaus.
- Šafā'ī, Šaraf ad-Dīn Ḥasan.** 1362 [1983]. *Dīvān-i Šaraf ad-Dīn Ḥasan Ḥakīm Šafā'ī-yi Iṣfahānī*. Edited by Luṭf'alī Banān. Tabriz: Idāra-yi kull-i iršād-i islāmī-yi Āzarbāyḡān-i šarqī.
- Šaffīyūn, Sa'īd.** 1388 [2009]. "Ġāyḡāh-i Šafā'ī dar sabk-i hindī." *Pažūhišnāma-yi zabān va adab-i fārsī (Gauhar-i ḡuyā)* 3 (3): 109–130.
- Sohrabi, Naghmeḥ.** 2012. *Taken for Wonder. Nineteenth-Century Travel Accounts from Iran to Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stagl, Justin.** 1995. *A History of Curiosity. The Theory of Travel 1550–1800*. Chur: Harwood Academic Publ.
- Stewart, Devin.** 2011. "Women's Biographies in Islamic Societies: Mīrzā 'Abd Allāh al-Iṣfahānī's *Riyāḍ al-'ulamā*." In *The Rhetoric of Biography. Narrating Lives in Persianate Societies*, edited by Louise Marlow, 106–139. Boston: Ilex Foundation.

- Storey, C. A.** 1953. *A History of Persian Literature. A Bio-Bibliographical Survey. Volume I, Part 2: Biography*. London: Luzac & Co.
- Subrahmanyam, Sanjay.** 2014. "Early Modern Circulation and the Question of 'Patriotism' between Central Asia and India." In *Writing Travel in Central Asian History*, edited by Nile Green, 43–68. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Tavakoli-Targhi, Mohamad.** 1993. "Imagining Western Women: Occidentalism and Euro-eroticism." *Radical America* 24 (3): 73–87.
- Touati, Houari.** 2010. *Islam & Travel in the Middle Ages*. Translated by Lydia G. Cochrane. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Trausch, Tilmann.** 2015. *Formen höfischer Historiographie im 16. Jahrhundert. Geschichtsschreibung unter den frühen Safaviden: 1501–1578*. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Uruch Beg.** 1926. *Don Juan of Persia. A Shi'a Catholic, 1560–1604*. Edited by Guy Le Strange. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- Yalamahā, Aḥmad Rizā.** 1391 [2012]. "Divān-i aš'ār-i Ḥasrat Mašhadī ba ḥaṭṭ-i ḥud-i šā'ir." *Faṣlnāma-yi muṭālī'āt-i Šibha Qāra, Dānišgāh-i Sīstān va Balūčistān* 4 (10): 131–144.
- Yazici, T.** 1985. "ALĪ AKBAR KEṬĀĪ." In *Elr*. Last updated August 1, 2011. Last accessed April 12, 2020. <http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/ali-akbar-ketai>.